



SCOOP Science Review Meeting

WP7000 – Wet Troposphere Model







Objectives

- To develop methods and techniques to produce an enhanced WTC for Sentinel-3 (S3), compared to the S3 baseline correction, over the open and coastal ocean:
 - based on the combined use of third-party data;
 - evaluated at the S3 orbit space-time sampling.
- While S3 data are not available, Envisat data will be used for test purposes (e.g., algorithm development).
- In addition, the WTC will be computed for the selected CryoSat-2 (CS-2) regions of interest (ROI).





- WP7100 Data set specification
- CS-2 data (time and location) for the project ROI.
- Envisat and S3-A/B MWR data.
- Wet path delays from third-party data sets:
 - derived at GNSS coastal and island stations,
 - computed from water vapour products from SI-MWR,
 - computed from atmospheric model (ECMWF Operational model).





- WP7200 Data set generation
- 1) Data pre-processing, analysis and inter-calibration.
- 2) Algorithm implementation (GPD+ tuning to S3).
- 3) WTC (and associated error) computation:
 - WTC provided for:
 - all selected CS-2 ROI;
 - S3 ground-tracks.

4) Set of recommendations (out of SOW scope) about the correct approaches to compute the DTC to avoid height dependent errors in coastal regions.





- Recent developments
- Algorithm update: GNSS-derived Path Delay Plus (GPD+) methodology
- GPD+ combines previous GPD and DComb algorithms

- Data combination method using space-time objective analysis.

Additional data from

- scanning imaging radiometers (SI-MWR) on board various remote sensing satellites, improving the WTC retrieval for the most recent altimetric missions.

- All MWR data sets calibrated w.r.t. SSM/I and SSM/IS.
- Improved detection of invalid on-board MWR values:

- land and ice contamination; definition of statistical criteria based on MWR and model values in the vicinity of the point; tuning the criteria to each mission, based on a careful inspection of the baseline MWR.





• MWR calibration

Step 0 – Comparison between each SI-MWR and ERA Interim

- Differences between each SI-MWR-derived WTC and ERA-derived WTC, collocated in space and time with each SI-MWR measurement point, were analyzed.
- Identified SI-MWR instability periods:
 - Rejection of F15 data;
 - MTA used only after 2008;
 - N15, N16 and N17 used only after 2005.2.





- All radiometer data sets have been calibrated using the set of SSM/I and SSM/ IS, on board the DMSP satellite series (FXX), as reference;
- Calibration improves consistency and long term stability.









Differences in WTC (cm) from SI-MWR sensors (SSM/I-SSM/IS, TMI, AMSR-E, AMSR-2 and WindSat) and ERA Interim.





Differences in WTC (cm) from SSM/I, SSM/IS and ERA Interim.













- The calibration was performed in 3 steps:

- Step 1 TP, J1, J2 \rightarrow FXX
- Step 2 35-day missions \rightarrow TP, J1, J2
- Step 3 remaining SI-MWR \rightarrow TP, J1, J2
- Adjustment model uses Offset (a), scale factor (b) and trend (c)



Satellite altimetry reference missions calibrated against the contemporary DMSP missions (all data from FXX satellites in the common T/P, J1 or J2 periods were used).





Step 1 – Calibration between TP, J1, J2 and SSM/I & SSM/IS

• Matching points between SSM/I and SSM/IS sensors and MWR on board reference altimetric mission (TP, J1, J2) were identified:

– Only points with $\Delta T < 45$ min and $\Delta D < 50$ km were considered.

• WTC from each reference altimetric mission was adjusted to WTC from SSM/I and SMM/IS set of sensors.

Mission	Offset (mm)	Scale factor	Trend (mm/y)
ТР	-8.1882	0.97720	0.1542
J1	-4.3642	0.98428	-0.1399
J2	-5.6329	0.97704	-0.2288

Calibration parameters







Differences in WTC (cm) from SSM/I, SSM/IS and T/P, J1 and J2 radiometers, before and after calibration.







Differences in WTC (cm) from ERA Interim and T/P, J1 and J2 MWR, before and after calibration.





Step 2 – Calibration between 35-day missions and TP, J1, J2

- Crossovers (matching points) between each sun-synchronous 35-day altimetric mission (E1, E2, EN, SA) and the altimetry reference missions (TP, J1, J2) were identified.
 - Only points with a $\Delta T < 180$ min were considered.
- WTC from 35-day missions were calibrated against the WTC from reference missions using a crossover adjustment.

		•	
Mission	Offset (mm)	Scale factor	Trend (mm/y)
E1	-12.1711	0.96279	0. 1724
E2	-12.7178	0.95680	0. 0970
EN	-12.2356	0.95462	-0. 0809
SA	6.1130	1.00681	-

Calibration parameters





Step 3 – Calibration between other SI-MWR and TP, J1, J2

• WTC from all remaining SI-MWR (except the FXX series) sensors were adjusted to the WTC from altimetric reference missions.

Mission	Offset (mm)	Scale factor	Trend (mm/y)
COR	-0.4262	0.98909	-0.0581
N15	-4.7925	1.01624	-0.0760
N16	-5.2776	1.01222	-0.0737
N17	-11.6989	0.98413	0.2560
N18	-2.5803	1.00950	-0.1422
N19	-2.8430	1.00711	-0.1673
AQU	-0.5598	0.99023	0.0134
TRM	0.1653	0.99514	-0.0327
ΜΤΑ	-2.5543	0.99882	-0.2594
МТВ	-5.4636	0.99673	-0.1872
GCW	-0.6326	0.98857	-0.0414

Calibration parameters













• GPD+ performance in coastal regions

- The next slides show, for various missions, the RMS difference between various WTC and the WTC derived at the nearby coastal GNSS stations.
- A set of over 700 stations has been used.
- Only points up to 100 km from the station are considered.
- Differences are binned function of distance from coast.
- For each bin, the RMS is presented.
 - Black dots: GPD+ WTC
 - Blue dots: Baseline MWR valid according to all criteria
 - **Red dots**: Baseline MWR valid according to all criteria except the maximum distance from coast (D_{max})







Distance from coast (km)





- Summary
- GPD+ implemented for 8 mission in the scope of the SL-cci project.
- For most missions, the new WTC reduce sea level anomaly variance w.r.t. previous non-calibrated versions.
- Coastal improvements are also illustrated through the reduction of the RMS differences between GNSS-derived wet path delays at coastal stations and the WTC at the nearby altimeter points, function of the distance from coast.
- GPD+ will be tuned for S3 in the scope of the project.





- WP7300 Data set validation
 - The WTC will be evaluated through an independent validation, within WP5000 and WP6000.
- WTC will be validated in this task by means of a set of statistical analyses of sea level anomaly (SLA) variance (along-track, at crossovers, function of distance from coast and function of latitude).





- Outline of deliverables
 - Product Validation Plan D2.4 (Feb. 9, 2016)
 - Product Validation Report D2.5 (Oct. 9, 2016, Updated Jul. 9, 2017)
 - WTC Output Products D2.9 (Jul. 9, 2017)