# **CP40:** IMPROVED ESTIMATION OF THE THERMAL NOISE IN THE SAMOSA RETRACKER



# F. Martín (1), A. Reppucci (1), A. Egido (2), D. Cotton (3), J. Benveniste (4), M. Restano (4), A. Ambrózio (4)





(1) Starlab Limited. (2) National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). (3) Satellite Oceanographic Consultants (SatOc). (4) European Space Agency (ESA).



# **NTRODUCTION**

- Estimation of the thermal noise is a key parameter in the retracking of the SAR waveforms  $\rightarrow$  affects directly the estimation of the SWH.
- Originally the noise level was obtained as the average value of the first SAR waveforms lags (typically lags 11-21).
- □ However, this approach does not consider the impact that the



- □ In the framework of the CP40 project, an empirical model was proposed for the computation of the thermal noise [1].
- □ This work extends the work done in [1], and provides an optimized version of the SAMOSA retracker.

SWH can have on the leading edge and amplitude.

□ Thus, it could not represent the true noise.

# **OPTIMISATION OF THE NOISE FLOOR CALCULATION**

- □ An approach based on the uncorrelated characteristics of the thermal noise has been used to define the noise floor
  - SNR increases proportionally with *Nincoh* in the lags where the signal is uncorrelated.
  - Leading edge position tends to be shifted to the left side as SWH increases.
  - Noise floor is narrower at higher SWHs.



Therefore: position, Optimum and optimum width (number of lags) of the noise region shall **be considered!!!** 

# **TEST DATA**

- □ Data from CryoSat-2 CNES-CPP L1b (v14) have been used as an input.
- □ Analysis focused on the area where in situ data (wave buoy) are available (30°- 65°N and 20°- 0° W).



# **ERROR ANALYSIS**

□ SSH, SWH, and Pu comparison performed between the CNES-CPP and the updated SAMOSA retracker for different margins (i.e. 9, 14, 16, 18), and different window lengths (i.e. 1, 2, 3, and 4 lags).



## CONCLUSIONS

Parameters retrieved with the updated SAMOSA retracker show good agreement with those retrieved by the CPP retracker, (Correlation higher than 99%).



- □ Best results obtained using a margin of 14-16 lags and a window length of 2-3 lags.
- □ Consistent equivalence between the 20 Hz SAMOSA and CPP products.
  - Error bias of about 3 mm, with a std of 1 mm for SSH.
  - Error bias close to 1 cm for SWH and very low (0.0001) for the Pu.
  - Major discrepancies found at low SWH conditions.
- □ Validation against buoys performed in [2] confirms these results.

#### REFERENCES

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

CNES-CPP data used in this work.

[1] Egido, A. et al., 2014: D4.1. Algorithm Theoretical and Validation Document -Open Ocean, CP40 WP4000 Technical Note.

[2] Passaro, M., and Cotton, D. et al 2015: D3.3. SAMOSA SAR retracker improvements-Assessment of Evaluation Data Set, CP40 WP3000 Technical Note.

This work has been funded by ESA under the Support to Science Element (STSE) programme, CCN1, ESA/ESRIN Contract No. AO/1-6827/11/I-NB. We wish to acknowledge the support of CNES and CLS who kindly provided the

http://bit.ly/1XsN9T0